IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R.BASANT

FRIDAY, THE 29TH JUNE 2007 / 8TH ASHADHA 1929

Crl.MC.No. 2085 of 2007()

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN CRRP.1302/2007 Dated 27/03/2007

.....

PETITIONER: 1ST RESPONDENT/1ST RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

CHARLS, S/O. ANTONY,
POOKATTU VEEDU, KADAPLATHURUTH KARA,
MOOTHAKUNNAM VILLAGE, PARAVUR TALUK,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.A.X.VARGHESE
SRI.A.V.JOJO

RESPONDENTS: REVISION PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED

SAJEEV, S/O. PARAMESWARAN, AGED 48,
VALLATTUTHARA VEEDU, VAVAKKADU KARA,
MOOTHAKUNNAM VILLAGE, PARAVUR TALUK,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.M.S.BREEZ

THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 29/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

R.BASANT, J.

Crl.M.C.No.2085 of 2007

Dated this the 29th day of June 2007

O R D E R

The petitioner wants this court to alter Annexure A2 order. Annexure A2 order is an order passed by another Bench of this court in a criminal revision petition. In that criminal revision petition, the verdict of guilty, conviction and sentence in a prosecution under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act was challenged by the accused. The petitioner is the complainant. That challenge was allowed in part without any notice to the petitioner. That is the grievance of the petitioner. That grievance of the petitioner has got to be raised before the appropriate superior forum. That order cannot be sought to be reviewed, varied, altered or modified by another Bench of this court. The prayer is evidently mis-conceived. The same cannot be allowed.

2. This petition is accordingly dismissed.

(R.BASANT, JUDGE)

jsr

// True Copy// PA to Judge

R.BASANT, J.

CRL.M.CNo.

ORDER

21ST DAY OF MAY2007